

CWA/CAL/86B

26th September 1967

Dr. V.C. Runekles,  
P.O. Box 1500,  
MONTREAL, P.Q.  
Canada.

Dear Vic,

I have just read your April-June Quarterly Report and seen the results obtained by ERF for the TCRC collaborative test on humectants. We also took part in the test; our results were:-

Sample Number	Propylene Glycol, %	Glycerol, %
1	-	None detected
2	0.69	3.36
3	1.83	2.02
4	-	7.55
5	1.21	4.08

Results for propylene glycol contents show quite good agreement between us, but our glycerol results are much higher than yours.

Your report suggests that ERF is not happy with the extraction procedure recommended/TCRC. We are not really satisfied with the GLC procedure for glycerol. We found that the calibration was not constant; in fact it even varied within one day. This was not the case for propylene glycol.

I should be interested to hear any comments you and ERF may have about this TCRC method.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,

*AW*

Cora W. Ayers

100308254