

I can not understand why use has not been made of the R+D.E. work results.

Also she is a little surprised at the extent of testing involved in an evaluation of a product of this type. It seems that a simple screening test measuring T.D.M. would have sufficed, especially as that result was not particularly encouraging in any case.

Since subjective tests have been made, the results should have been detailed evaluations? Are biological tests necessary in routine evaluations? On economic grounds, one would <sup>not</sup> ~~thought~~ not

107470032