

- 1) No reference to B-AI work or to BAC work - do they know about it.
- 2) I would be interested to see the numerical results for the diff. eq. diff. models. Why would it have shown the relationship with exposure??
- 3) In fact the objective of this work is not to see the results this is not discussed at all!
- 4) If a laboratory filter trapped all the particles then the pollution office can get a good idea of the amount of air in the PD of the filter in Table 2 we could check this. The number of particles ~~is~~ about 30% of clean filter and used. Is the filter used not allowed to equilibrate before FE measurement.

296

107470039

→ C.A.T. Co
 CWA / 1960.

There is a recent report from Tamara Wilson on mental in smelt. Can you let me know whether we (~ B.C.W.) agree with the author's findings or whether we should write to Tamara.

Can

I would like to see the report. I think it is important to know what the author's findings are. I will try to get a copy of the report.


- I also read the report. CS_2 causes sterility!
- ① "20 Cigs and a Cambridge Fitch" - seems excessive!
 (I presume exposure of all the mental in smelt) see below
 - ② Pluff by pluff analysis -- does not agree with

Philippe Warren Berlage, 1970, S(6), 297, when the

level increases approx. 100%, (10) this is due

to ① i.e. sterility of the C.F. (?) despite p. 7 there a subsequent silver trip doesn't show any results - a cold trip would be better

Att: RD 411F indicates an increase level in.



Not a great discrepancy, but perhaps with a note for clarification, see see! Jan.

107470040

CIA.

Fig 5 is a little different to RD 711F. Needs integration.
See my replot. JAC.

107470041