

DGF/JW/46D

3rd July, 1959.

C.H. James, Esq.,
The Secretary,
Tobacco Manufacturers Standing Committee,
6/10 Bruton Street,
LONDON, W.1.

Dear Mr. James,

Thank you for your letter TSC 72 of 1st July, enclosing a draft copy of the Committee's Annual Report for the year ended 31st May, and requesting comments from members of the Technical Sub-Committee by Monday 6th July. Dr. Hughes and I have considered the Report jointly, and the following comments are from both of us :

Page 2 Objective No.4 - We suggest the heading "Biological Activity of Tobacco Smoke". This seems to us to avoid confusion between biological effects and physiological effects (Objective 6).

Page 4 - We suggest the following wording for Section (i) :-
"(i) They will mainly stop the passage of particulate material and, while not affecting the permanent gases, will only partly reduce the condensable vapours in the smoke".

Page 4 Para 2 - We would suggest this should end "..... which is a function of the amount of material actually contained in the tip and its arrangement".

Page 4 Last Para. - We suggest "The different methods of collection and of measurement give different results, and to remedy this situation the manufacturers represented on the Committee have agreed on a standard and scientifically reliable procedure for preparing and measuring cigarette smoke condensate for use in this country, endeavouring to approach that received by an average smoker".

I trust that these comments are helpful.

Yours sincerely,



c.c. Messrs. Hobson, Anderson, Sir Charles Ellis and Dr. Bentley.

100276373