

P.O. BOX 6500.
MONTREAL, P.Q., CANADA

FILE FILE
to return please

March 27, 1969

Dr. S.J. Green,
London.

Dear Jim,

Re: Bell/Laing

LET 15
220 RB
32191
JJW

Further to your letter of February 18, and that of March 6 with the copy of the letter you sent to Mr. Jones and Southampton's critique of Intertek Report No.3, I enclose a note from Dr. Smith on the subject. As you will see, we were in the process of putting together a rebuttal to Dr. Bell's report when your letter arrived.

We would agree that the experimental evidence does not support the claims made by Bell and Laing and that the only meaningful calculations of heating rates have to be based on direct measurements. On this basis we too feel that it is unlikely that Messrs. Jones, Bell & Laing and ourselves will ever agree as to the interpretation of the experimental data. Furthermore, we agree with your approach and feel that you are right in avoiding endless debate on the subject. I think all that we can do now is to await a reply from Mr. Jones. Hopefully he will come back in due course and take the bait as you suggest.

With kind regards,

Sincerely,

leo
L.C. Laporte.

Research & Development
Revd: - 1 APR 1969
Ask
Seen by

100457235