

Appendix I - Evaluation Criteria for Annual Selection Process

Criteria	Descriptor	Maximum Rating Available	Evaluation Committee Member Rating	Scoring Guide - Degree to which the Application clearly demonstrates, in a measurable fashion, that it meets the evaluation criteria		
		Total = 100		Up to 33% of maximum points available	34% – 66% of maximum points available	67% - 100% of maximum points available.
A. Solution – Patients						
Maintains or improves access to medical services by patients (1. (b))	The availability of medical services to the patient population served	15		Maintains or minimally improves access to medical services	Moderately improves access to medical services	Significantly improves access to medical services
Maintains or improves quality of medical services to patients (1. (b))	Positive patient experience and evidence based improved health outcomes to patients	15		Maintains or minimally improves patient care/quality of services	Provides moderate improvements in health outcomes for patients	Provides significant improvements that address complex or specialized patient care (e.g. chronic)
Maintains or increases quantity of medical services to patients (1. (b))	Maintains or adds value by increasing patient services	10		Maintains or minimally increases service volume	Moderately increases volume	Significantly increases service volume
B. Solution – Service Delivery						
Maintains or enhances service delivery through team-based approaches (1. (d))	Other health professionals are utilized working collaboratively to effectively/efficiently deliver the services	10		Demonstrated linkages that integrate services effectively/efficiently across different settings	Utilization of other professionals that improve that delivery of services	Multiple health care professionals working collaboratively to obtain significant improvements in service delivery
C. Solution - System Management						
Aligns with MoH/Health Authority priorities (1. (a))	The proposal achieves MOH strategic and/or HA operational priorities	7.5		Application minimally aligns with Government and/or Health Authority priorities	Application moderately aligns with one or more Government and/or Health Authority priorities	Application significantly aligns with one or more Government and/or Health Authority priorities
Contributes to improvements in the management of physician services (1. (e))	The proposal contributes to the effective management of the proposed services and the health system	7.5		Application addresses Health Authority management issues/objectives	Application enables Health Authority to obtain improvements in the management of services	Application demonstrates a significant improvement in the management of services
D. Proponent Capacity						
Current capacity to fulfill service requirements (1. (f))	Applicant's have expertise/ experience/manpower to provide the required services	10		Demonstrates minimum credentials, training, experience and manpower required to perform the services	Moderately exceeds required credentials, training, experience and manpower to perform the services	Significantly exceeds credentials, training, experience and manpower to perform the services
Capacity to attract and retain necessary human resources (1. (g))	Applicant's ability to provide physicians and other professional services	10		Current workforce not in place	Application has a reasonable plan in place for recruitment/retention	Application has a plan in place with demonstrated achievable recruitment/retention
E. Cost						
Cost to government and health authority for providing physician services 1. (h))	The net cost of the proposed services to Ministry/HAs (absolute dollar amount and percentage increase)	15		Significant increase in cost	Moderate increase in costs	Marginal increase in costs

The parties may, by mutual agreement, modify or add to the evaluation criteria and/or amend the points system for ranking Applications. Following the first year of the ASP, the Evaluation Committee may make recommendations to the Government and the BCMA for changes in the Application Form or the Evaluation Criteria.